Imperial College London



Towards an exact framework for domain decomposition coupling

by Edward R Smith Daniele Dini, David Heyes, Tamer Zaki

Domain Decomposition

Domain decomposition coupling links continuum based computational fluid dynamics (CFD) and discrete molecular dynamics (MD) by assuming they exist at the same time and length scale. Coupled simulation of this type uses CFD to accelerate molecular simulation, with both descriptions limited to the slowest time scales of the problem. Typically the MD and CFD regions are separate with an overlaps region between them to allow the exchange of boundary conditions,

Consistent Framework & Data Exchange CFD→MD Boundary Condition

MD→CFD Boundary Condition

Two challenges are considered in this work:
1) Development of an exact mathematical framework to express and couple continuum and discrete systems.
2) Computational software to facilitate exchange of data for simulation on large multi-processor computers.
The motivation is coupled simulation of turbulence which requires very large scale simulations and exact coupling to exchange the complex 3D flow fields.



Mathematical Framework

Starting with the Irving and Kirkwood (1950) definition of density in term of microscopic quantities,

$$\rho(\boldsymbol{r},t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\langle m_i \delta\left(\boldsymbol{r} - \boldsymbol{r}_i\right); f \right\rangle$$

Integrate exactly over a control volume so the requirement of pointwise agreement between descriptions is no longer essential,

$$\int_{V} \rho(\boldsymbol{r}, t) dV = \int_{V} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\langle m_{i} \delta\left(\boldsymbol{r} - \boldsymbol{r}_{i}\right); f \right\rangle dV$$

Formal integration, shown here for a cube, results in a combination of Heaviside functionals which isolate molecules in the volume,



Fig 1 - Domain decomposition coupling between MD and CFD

Turbulent Flow

Perhaps the greatest motivation for domain decompositional coupling is to explore large scale and complex nonequilibrium flows which are prohibitively expensive with MD simulation alone.

It is just possible to simulate turbulent flow with Molecular

Fig x. MD Couette schematic with_0.1 central plane used for contours

140111111000001

Dynamics. This requires about 300,000,000 molecules to provide a Reynolds number of 400. The resulting molecular simulation shows turbulent structures and statistics which agree well with experimental results. As large computing resources are required for MD studies of this type, coupled simulation is ideally placed to answer open questions in turbulence research.





Fig 2c. Contour plots of u velocity on the x z plane at the centreline for MD (colors) and CFD (black contours with positive (—) and negative (···), separated by 0.1). The times of the six contour plots, (a)–(f), are denoted on the bottom line plot (CFD (°) and MD (×)) showing the evolution of whole domain turbulent kinetic energy k



A similar functional can also be obtained for the interaction operator between two molecules (the IK operator). Evaluating the derivative with respect to a given direction gives a function which selects all crossings over surfaces,

$$\frac{\partial \vartheta_i}{\partial x} = -\frac{\partial \vartheta_i}{\partial x_i} = \left[\delta(x^+ - x_i) - \delta(x^- - x_i)\right] \\ \times \left[H(y^+ - y_i) - H(y^- - y_i)\right] \\ \times \left[H(z^+ - z_i) - H(z^- - z_i)\right]$$

Using this control volume functional, it is then possible to define the control volume form of the mass, momentum and energy equations but in a molecular system. As these equations are for volumes, they are exactly conservative and equivalent in both systems. This allows both MD and CFD to be expressed in the same mathematical form.

Mass Conservation

$$\frac{d}{dt} \sum_{i=1}^{N} m_i \vartheta_i = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} m_i \mathbf{v}_i \cdot d\mathbf{S}_i \qquad \qquad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{V} \rho dV$$

Momentum Balance

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{V} \rho dV = -\oint_{S} \rho \boldsymbol{u} \cdot d\mathbf{S}$$

$$egin{aligned} &rac{d}{dt}\sum_{i=1}^N m_i \mathbf{v}_i artheta_i &= -\sum_{i=1}^N m_i \mathbf{v}_i \mathbf{v}_i \cdot d\mathbf{S}_i & rac{\partial}{\partial t}\int_V
ho oldsymbol{u} dV = -\oint_S
ho oldsymbol{u} oldsymbol{u} \cdot d\mathbf{S} & & \ &+ rac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j}^N oldsymbol{f}_{ij} \mathbf{n} \cdot d\mathbf{S}_{ij} & & -\oint_S oldsymbol{\Pi} \cdot d\mathbf{S} & & \ \end{aligned}$$

Molecular Surface Stresses

The above derivation provides a mathematical form of surface stress/flux which is linked exactly to evolution of momentum inside the control volume.

$$-\oint_{S} \mathbf{\Pi} \cdot d\mathbf{S} = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} m_{i} \left(\boldsymbol{v}_{i} - \boldsymbol{u} \right) \left(\boldsymbol{v}_{i} - \boldsymbol{u} \right) \cdot d\mathbf{S}_{i} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j}^{N} \boldsymbol{f}_{ij} \mathbf{n} \cdot d\mathbf{S}_{ij}$$

The surface crossing terms are equivalent to a localised form of the method of planes stress.

$$lS_{xij}^{+} = \frac{1}{2} \underbrace{\left[sgn(x^{+} - x_i) - sgn(x^{+} - x_j) \right]}_{MOP} S_{xij}$$

Constrained Dynamics

CPL library is open-source software designed to couple two massively parallel codes.

CPL library



Fig 3a - Local communication between processors

Key Features include:

<page-header><section-header><section-header><text><text><text><text>

Fig 3b - Snapshot of CPL library website with tree diagram of coupled software

• Optimal scaling for coupled cases with only local communication between processors which physically overlap.



• Shared library run in the mpmd model: mpiexec -n 16 ./cfd : -n 256 ./md maintaining the scope of the two coupled codes

• Minimal functional interface with clear documentation and a range of examples.

- Bindings available for Fortran, C++ and python with coupling supported between software written in different programming languages.
- Sockets for a number of open-source CFD and MD codes available.

Work with David Trevelyan, Eduardo Ramos Fernandez and Lucian Anton



Using the control volume framework with Gauss' principle of least constraint we derive a method for control of momentum in a localised region of the domain. Iteration ensures exact momentum control by cancelling the MD fluxes and replacing,



The sum of fluxes gives the appropriate evolution in time. However, the individual surface fluxes on a control volume can be controlled individually to allow complex flow fields which still satisfy the required sum. The constraint therefore unifies flux and state coupling, allowing complex flow coupling.

$$\ddot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{i} = \boldsymbol{F}_{i} + \frac{m_{i}\vartheta_{i}}{M_{I}} \left[\frac{d}{dt} \int_{V} \rho \boldsymbol{u} dV + \sum_{n=1}^{N} m_{i} \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{n} \dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{n} \cdot d\mathbf{S}_{n} - \sum_{n,m}^{N} \boldsymbol{f}_{nm} \mathbf{n} \cdot dS_{nm} \right]$$

 m_i



 \bigcirc